

Statistics

Lecture 11

ANOVA:
Analysis of Variance
(for a single factor)



**SILESIAN
UNIVERSITY**

SCHOOL OF BUSINESS
ADMINISTRATION IN KARVINA

David Bartl
Statistics
INM/BASTA

Outline of the lecture



- Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for a single factor
 - Bartlett's test
-

Two-sample t -test for the diff. of the pop. means // $\sigma_X = \sigma_Y$



First of all, recall the two-sample t -test for the difference of the population means (assuming the same variance):

Let $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_X, \sigma^2)$ and $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_Y, \sigma^2)$ be two unknown random variables.

We assume that both random variables X and Y are normally distributed, but we do not know their population means μ_X and μ_Y nor their variance, but we do assume that the variance σ^2 of both variables X and Y is the same.

We sample the variable X m -times, so we have the sample x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m .

We sample the variable Y n -times, so we have the sample y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n .

Two-sample t -test for the diff. of the pop. means // $\sigma_X = \sigma_Y$



Having the m observations x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m of the random variable $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_X, \sigma^2)$ and having the n observations y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n of the random variable $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_Y, \sigma^2)$, we test the null hypothesis that both population means are the same ($H_0: \mu_X = \mu_Y$) against the two-sided alternative hypothesis ($H_1: \mu_X \neq \mu_Y$).

Calculate the statistic

$$T = \frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y}}{\sqrt{\frac{(m-1)s_x^2 + (n-1)s_y^2}{m+n-2} \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} \right)}} \sim t_{m+n-2}$$

Two-sample t -test for the diff. of the pop. means // $\sigma_X = \sigma_Y$



Finish the two-sample t -test for the difference of the population means as follows:

- choose the **level of significance**, a small number $\alpha > 0$, a very popular value is $\alpha = 5\%$, other popular values are 10% or 1% or 0.1% etc.
- find the **critical value** $c > 0$ so that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{-c} f(x) dx + \int_{+c}^{+\infty} f(x) dx = \alpha$$

where f is the density of the t -distribution with $m + n - 2$ degrees of freedom

- if $T \in (-\infty, -c] \cup [+c, +\infty)$, the **critical region**, then **reject** the null hypothesis
- if $T \in (-c, +c)$, then **do not reject** (or **fail to reject**) the null hypothesis

One-Way ANOVA



One-way ANOVA



Motivation:

Let $Y_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma^2)$, $Y_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma^2)$, etc., $Y_k \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_k, \sigma^2)$ be unknown random variables.

We assume that the random variables Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k are normally distributed, but we do not know their population means $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k$ nor their variance, but we do assume that the variance σ^2 of all variables Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k is the same.

One-way ANOVA



We sample the variable Y_1 n_1 -times, so we have the sample

$$y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{13}, \dots, y_{1n_1}$$

We sample the variable Y_2 n_2 -times, so we have the sample

$$y_{21}, y_{22}, \dots, y_{2n_2}$$

We sample the variable Y_3 n_3 -times, so we have the sample

$$y_{31}, y_{32}, y_{33}, y_{34}, y_{35}, \dots, y_{3n_3}$$

Etc.

We sample the variable Y_k n_k -times, so we have the sample

$$y_{k1}, y_{k2}, \dots, y_{kn_k}$$

One-way ANOVA



Having the samples $y_{11}, y_{12}, \dots, y_{1n_1}, y_{21}, y_{22}, \dots, y_{2n_2}, \dots, y_{k1}, y_{k2}, \dots, y_{kn_k}$ of the random variables $Y_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma^2), Y_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma^2), \dots, Y_k \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_k, \sigma^2)$, respectively, we formulate the **null hypothesis**:

all samples come from the same population:
the values of the population means are the same

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$$

Recall that we do not know the true population means $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k$.

We only test the hypothesis by means of the samples of the measurements.

One-way ANOVA



Example I:

We have got a gross sample of n patients cured for some disease.

The patients were divided into k groups of sizes n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k so that

$$n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k$$

The 1st group has been treated by the 1st method.

The 2nd group has been treated by the 2nd method.

Etc.

The k^{th} group has been treated by the k^{th} method.

One-way ANOVA



Example I:

Then $y_{11}, y_{12}, \dots, y_{1n_1}, y_{21}, y_{22}, \dots, y_{2n_2}, \dots, y_{k1}, y_{k2}, \dots, y_{kn_k}$
are the results of a medical test after the treatment.

Based on the samples, we test the null hypothesis that
the results of all the treatments are (on average) the same.

One-way ANOVA



Example II:

We test k distinct cars. We test the 1st car n_1 times, we test the 2nd car n_2 times, etc., and we test the k^{th} car n_k times for mileage.

Then $y_{11}, y_{12}, \dots, y_{1n_1}, y_{21}, y_{22}, \dots, y_{2n_2}, \dots, y_{k1}, y_{k2}, \dots, y_{kn_k}$ are the results of the measurements, i.e. the mileages.

We test the null hypothesis that the average mileage of each car is the same.

One-way ANOVA



Remark:

If $k = 2$, then we can equivalently use the two-sample t -test for the difference of the means (with the assumption of the same variance) to test the null hypothesis.

If the number of the groups is larger ($k > 2$) and we apply the two sample t -test to all the pairs of the groups ($1-2, 1-3, \dots, 1-k, 2-3, \dots, 2-k$, etc., $(k-1)-k$) separately, then the probability of the error cumulates and is then much larger than the originally prescribed $\alpha=5\%$!!!

One-way ANOVA



We have got k groups of observations of a quantitative (numerical) data item:

The values in the 1st group are: $y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{13}, \dots, y_{1n_1}$

The values in the 2nd group are: $y_{21}, y_{22}, \dots, y_{2n_2}$

The values in the 3rd group are: $y_{31}, y_{32}, y_{33}, y_{34}, y_{35}, \dots, y_{3n_3}$

Etc.

The values in the k^{th} group are: $y_{k1}, y_{k2}, \dots, y_{kn_k}$

Recall our assumption that the samples come from normally distributed random variables Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k with the same variance σ^2 .

One-way ANOVA



The one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) proceeds as follows:

Having the samples $y_{11}, y_{12}, \dots, y_{1n_1}, y_{21}, y_{22}, \dots, y_{2n_2}, \dots, y_{k1}, y_{k2}, \dots, y_{kn_k}$, calculate the

- **sample variance between the groups**
 - **sample variance within the groups**
-

One-way ANOVA



Sample variance (mean squares) between the groups:

sum of squares (between)

mean squares (between)

degrees of freedom (between)

$$MS_B = \frac{SS_B}{DF_B} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (\bar{y}_i - \bar{y})^2}{k - 1}$$

where

- n_i is the size of the i -th group
- $\bar{y}_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} y_{ij}$ is the sample mean of the i -th group
- $\bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} y_{ij}$ is the grand sample mean
- $n = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$ is the size of the grand sample

One-way ANOVA



Sample variance (mean squares) between the groups:

The traditional ANOVA terminology is used:

Sum of Squares (between):

$$SS_B = \sum_{t=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_t} (\bar{y}_t - \bar{y})^2 = \sum_{t=1}^k n_t \times (\bar{y}_t - \bar{y})^2$$

Degrees of Freedom (between):

$$DF_B = k - 1$$

One-way ANOVA



Sample variance (mean squares) between the groups:

The traditional ANOVA terminology is used:

Mean Squares (between):

$$MS_B = \frac{SS_B}{DF_B} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (\bar{y}_i - \bar{y})^2}{k - 1}$$

Observe intuitively:

The more the null hypothesis ($\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$) holds true,

the more the mean squares MS_B tend to zero: $MS_B \rightarrow 0$

One-way ANOVA



Sample variance (mean squares) within the groups:

sum of squares (within)

mean squares (within)

degrees of freedom (within)

$$MS_W = \frac{SS_W}{DF_W} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2}{n - k}$$

where

- n_i is the size of the i -th group
- $\bar{y}_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} y_{ij}$ is the sample mean of the i -th group
- $n = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$ is the size of the grand sample

One-way ANOVA



Sample variance (mean squares) within the groups:

The traditional ANOVA terminology is used:

Sum of Squares (within):

$$SS_W = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2$$

Degrees of Freedom (within):

$$DF_W = \sum_{i=1}^k (n_i - 1) = n - k$$

One-way ANOVA



Sample variance (mean squares) within the groups:

The traditional ANOVA terminology is used:

Mean Squares (within):

$$MS_W = \frac{SS_W}{DF_W} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2}{n - k}$$

Observe intuitively:

The more the mean squares MS_W tend to zero ($MS_W \rightarrow 0$),
the less the null hypothesis ($\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$) holds true.

One-way ANOVA



Theorem:

If $Y_{11}, Y_{12}, \dots, Y_{1n_1}, Y_{21}, Y_{22}, \dots, Y_{2n_2}, \dots, Y_{k1}, Y_{k2}, \dots, Y_{kn_k} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ are independent, then

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (\bar{Y}_i - \bar{Y})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_i)^2} \bigg/ \frac{k-1}{n-k} \sim F_{k-1, n-k}$$

where $F_{k-1, n-k}$ denotes Fisher's F -distribution with $k-1$ and $n-k$ d.f. (degrees of freedom).

One-way ANOVA: the test



The one-way ANOVA test proceeds as follows:

- Given the samples $y_{11}, y_{12}, \dots, y_{1n_1}, y_{21}, y_{22}, \dots, y_{2n_2}, \dots, y_{k1}, y_{k2}, \dots, y_{kn_k}$ of the random variables $Y_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma^2), Y_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma^2), \dots, Y_k \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_k, \sigma^2)$, respectively, formulate the null hypothesis:

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$$

- The alternative hypothesis is $H_1: \neg H_0$, i.e. $\mu_{i'} \neq \mu_{i''}$ for some $i' \neq i''$
-

One-way ANOVA: the test



- Calculate the statistic

$$F = \frac{MS_B}{MS_W} = \frac{SS_B}{SS_W} \bigg/ \frac{DF_B}{DF_W} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (\bar{y}_i - \bar{y})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2} \bigg/ \frac{k-1}{n-k}$$

- If the null hypothesis is true, then we have by the Theorem

$$F \sim F_{k-1, n-k}$$

- Choose the level of significance, a small number $\alpha > 0$, such as $\alpha = 5\%$, other popular values are $\alpha = 10\%$ or $\alpha = 1\%$ or $\alpha = 0.1\%$ etc.
-

One-way ANOVA: the test



- find the **critical value** $c > 0$ so that

$$\int_c^{+\infty} f(x) dx = \alpha$$

where f is the density of the F -distribution with $k - 1$ and $n - 1$ d.f.

- if $F \in [c, +\infty)$, **the critical region**, then **reject** the null hypothesis
- if $F \in [0, c)$, then **do not reject** (or **fail to reject**) the null hypothesis

Remark:

If $k = 2$, then the two-sample t -test for the difference of the means is equivalent.

One-way ANOVA: total variation



Total sample variance (mean squares):

sum of squares (total)

mean squares (total)

degrees of freedom (total)

$$MS_T = \frac{SS_T}{DF_T} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y})^2}{n - 1}$$

where

- n_i is the size of the i -th group
- $\bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} y_{ij}$ is the grand sample mean
- $n = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$ is the size of the grand sample

One-way ANOVA: total variation



Total sample variance (mean squares):

The traditional ANOVA terminology is used:

Sum of Squares (total):

$$SS_T = \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_l} (y_{lj} - \bar{y})^2$$

Degrees of Freedom (total):

$$DF_T = n - 1$$

One-way ANOVA: total variation



Total sample variance (mean squares):

The traditional ANOVA terminology is used:

Mean Squares (total):

$$MS_T = \frac{SS_T}{DF_T} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y})^2}{n - 1}$$

One-way ANOVA: total variation



Notice that it holds:

$$SS_T = SS_W + SS_B$$

or

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (\bar{y}_i - \bar{y})^2$$

One-way ANOVA: total variation



Notice first:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} y_{ij} - \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \bar{y}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} y_{ij} - n_i \times \bar{y}_i = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} y_{ij} - n_i \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} y_{ij}}{n_i} = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} y_{ij} - \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} y_{ij} = 0\end{aligned}$$

One-way ANOVA: total variation



Notice now:

$$\begin{aligned}SS_T &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \left((y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i) + (\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}) \right)^2 = \\&= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)(\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}) + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (\bar{y}_i - \bar{y})^2 = \\&= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k (\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}) \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i) + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (\bar{y}_i - \bar{y})^2 = \\&= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (\bar{y}_i - \bar{y})^2 = SS_W + SS_B\end{aligned}$$

Bartlett's test



UNIVERSITY OF EAST ANGLIA
SCHOOL OF BUSINESS

Bartlett's test



Motivation: Recall the assumptions of the one-way ANOVA method:

Given random variables $Y_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma^2)$, $Y_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma^2)$, etc., $Y_k \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_k, \sigma^2)$, we assume that

- the random variables Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k are normally distributed
- the variance σ^2 of all variables Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k is the same

Given the samples $y_{11}, y_{12}, \dots, y_{1n_1}$, $y_{21}, y_{22}, \dots, y_{2n_2}$, ..., $y_{k1}, y_{k2}, \dots, y_{kn_k}$ of the random variables Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k , respectively,

Bartlett's test



Theorem: If

$Y_{11}, Y_{12}, \dots, Y_{1n_1} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma^2), Y_{21}, Y_{22}, \dots, Y_{2n_2} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma^2), \dots,$

$Y_{k1}, Y_{k2}, \dots, Y_{kn_k} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_k, \sigma^2)$ are independent, then

$$\frac{(n - k) \ln \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_i)^2}{n - k} - \sum_{i=1}^k (n_i - 1) \ln \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_i)^2}{n_i - 1}}{1 + \frac{1}{3(k-1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i - 1} - \frac{1}{n - k} \right)} \sim \chi_{k-1}^2$$

approximately

(if all $n_i \geq 7$)

Bartlett's test



Given unknown random variables

$$Y_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2), \quad Y_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2), \quad \dots, \quad Y_k \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_k, \sigma_k^2)$$

Sampling the variable Y_1 n_1 -times yields the sample $y_{11}, y_{12}, y_{13}, \dots, y_{1n_1}$

Sampling the variable Y_2 n_2 -times yields the sample $y_{21}, y_{22}, \dots, y_{2n_2}$

Sampling the variable Y_3 n_3 -times yields the sample $y_{31}, y_{32}, y_{33}, y_{34}, y_{35}, \dots, y_{3n_3}$

Etc.

Sampling the variable Y_k n_k -times yields the sample $y_{k1}, y_{k2}, \dots, y_{kn_k}$

Null hypothesis:

$$H_0: \quad \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2 = \dots = \sigma_k^2$$

Bartlett's test



- Calculate the statistic

$$\chi^2 = \frac{(n - k) \ln \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_i)^2}{n - k} - \sum_{i=1}^k (n_i - 1) \ln \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_i)^2}{n_i - 1}}{1 + \frac{1}{3(k-1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n_i - 1} - \frac{1}{n - k} \right)}$$

- If the null hypothesis is true, then we have by the Theorem

$$\chi^2 \sim \chi_{k-1}^2 \quad \textit{approximately}$$

- Choose the level of significance, a small number $\alpha > 0$, such as $\alpha = 5\%$, other popular values are $\alpha = 10\%$ or $\alpha = 1\%$ or $\alpha = 0.1\%$ etc.

Bartlett's test



- find the **critical value** $c > 0$ so that

$$\int_c^{+\infty} f(x) dx = \alpha$$

where f is the density of the χ^2 -distribution with $k - 1$ degrees of freedom

- if $X^2 \in [c, +\infty)$, **the critical region**, then **reject** the null hypothesis
(the ANOVA should not be used)
 - if $X^2 \in [0, c)$, then **do not reject** (or **fail to reject**) the null hypothesis
-