

Tautologies

Example 1: What do you notice about each sentence below?

| | |
|----|---|
| 1. | A number is even or a number is not even. |
| 2. | Cheryl passes math or Cheryl does not pass math. |
| 3. | It is raining or it is not raining. |
| 4. | A triangle is isosceles or a triangle is not isosceles. |

Each sentence in Example 1 is the disjunction of a statement and its negation. Each of these sentences can be written in symbolic form as $p \vee \sim p$. Recall that a disjunction is false if and only if both statements are false; otherwise it is true. By this definition, $p \vee \sim p$ is always true, even when statement p is false or statement $\sim p$ is false! This is illustrated in the truth table below:

| p | $\sim p$ | $p \vee \sim p$ |
|-----|----------|-----------------|
| T | F | T |
| F | T | T |

The [compound statement](#) $p \vee \sim p$ consists of the individual statements p and $\sim p$. In the truth table above, $p \vee \sim p$ is always true, regardless of the truth value of the individual statements. Therefore, we conclude that $p \vee \sim p$ is a tautology.

Definition: A compound statement, that is always true regardless of the truth value of the individual statements, is defined to be a **tautology**.

Let's look at another example of a tautology.

Example 2: Is $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow p$ a tautology?

| p | q | $p \wedge q$ | $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow p$ |
|-----|-----|--------------|------------------------------|
| T | T | T | T |
| T | F | F | T |
| F | T | F | T |
| F | F | F | T |

Solution: The compound statement $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow p$ consists of the individual statements p , q , and $p \wedge q$. The truth table above shows that $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow p$ is true regardless of the truth value of the individual statements. Therefore, $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow p$ is a tautology.

In the examples below, we will determine whether the given statement is a tautology by creating a truth table.

Example 3: Is $x \rightarrow (x \vee y)$ a tautology?

| x | y | $x \vee y$ | $x \rightarrow (x \vee y)$ |
|-----|-----|------------|----------------------------|
|-----|-----|------------|----------------------------|

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| T | T | T | T |
| T | F | T | T |
| F | T | T | T |
| F | F | F | T |

Solution: Yes; the truth values of $x \rightarrow (x \vee y)$ are {T, T, T, T}.

Example 4: Is $\sim b \rightarrow b$ a tautology?

| | | |
|---|----------|------------------------|
| b | $\sim b$ | $\sim b \rightarrow b$ |
| T | F | T |
| F | T | F |

Solution: No; the truth values of $\sim b \rightarrow b$ are {T, F}.

Example 5: Is $(p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$ a tautology?

| | | | | |
|---|---|--------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| p | q | $(p \vee q)$ | $(p \wedge q)$ | $(p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$ |
| T | T | T | T | T |
| T | F | T | F | F |
| F | T | T | F | F |
| F | F | F | F | T |

Solution: No; the truth values of $(p \vee q) \rightarrow (p \wedge q)$ are {T, F, F, T}.

Example 6: Is $[(p \rightarrow q) \wedge p] \rightarrow p$ a tautology?

| | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------|------------------------------|--|
| p | q | $p \rightarrow q$ | $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge p$ | $[(p \rightarrow q) \wedge p] \rightarrow p$ |
| T | T | T | T | T |
| T | F | F | F | T |
| F | T | T | F | T |
| F | F | T | F | T |

Solution: Yes; the truth values of $[(p \rightarrow q) \wedge p] \rightarrow p$ are {T, T, T, T}.

Example 7: Is $(r \rightarrow s) \leftrightarrow (s \rightarrow r)$ a tautology?

| | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------|-------------------|---|
| r | s | $r \rightarrow s$ | $s \rightarrow r$ | $(r \rightarrow s) \leftrightarrow (s \rightarrow r)$ |
|---|---|-------------------|-------------------|---|

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| T | T | T | T | T |
| T | F | F | T | F |
| F | T | T | F | F |
| F | F | T | T | T |

Solution: No; the truth values of $(r \rightarrow s) \leftrightarrow (s \rightarrow r)$ are $\{T, F, F, T\}$.

Summary: A compound statement that is always true, regardless of the truth value of the individual statements, is defined to be a tautology. We can construct a truth table to determine if a compound statement is a tautology.

Exercises

1. What is the truth value of $r \vee \sim r$?

- True
- False
- Not enough information was given.
- None of the above.

RESULTS BOX:

2. Is the following statement a tautology? $s \rightarrow \sim s$

- Yes
- No
- Not enough information was given.
- None of the above.

RESULTS BOX:

3. Is the following statement a tautology? $[(p \vee q) \wedge \sim p] \rightarrow q$

- Yes
- No

- Not enough information was given.
- None of the above.

RESULTS BOX:

4. Is the following statement a tautology? $\sim(x \vee y) \leftrightarrow (\sim x \wedge \sim y)$

- Yes
- No
- Not enough information was given.
- None of the above.

RESULTS BOX:

5. Is the following statement a tautology? $a \wedge \sim a$

- Yes
- No
- Not enough information was given
- None of the above

RESULTS BOX: