

PART A: PHRASES USED IN MATHEMATICAL TEXTS

ABSTRACT AND INTRODUCTION

We prove that in some families of compacta there are no universal elements.
 It is also shown that
 Some relevant counterexamples are indicated.

It is of interest to know whether We wish to investigate
 We are interested in finding Our purpose is to
 It is natural to try to relate to

This work was intended as an attempt to motivate (at motivating)
 The aim of this paper is to bring together two areas in which

<p>In Section 3 the third section [Note: paragraph ≠ section]</p>	<p>we introduce the notion of develop the theory of will look more closely at will be concerned with proceed with the study of indicate how these techniques may be used to extend the results of to derive an interesting formula for it is shown that some of the recent results are reviewed in a more general setting. some applications are indicated. our main results are stated and proved.</p>	<p>review some of the standard facts on have compiled some basic facts summarize without proofs the relevant material on give a brief exposition of briefly sketch set up notation and terminology. discuss (study/treat/examine) the case introduce the notion of develop the theory of will look more closely at will be concerned with proceed with the study of indicate how these techniques may be used to extend the results of to derive an interesting formula for it is shown that some of the recent results are reviewed in a more general setting. some applications are indicated. our main results are stated and proved.</p>
<p>Section 4</p>	<p>contains a brief summary (a discussion) of deals with (discusses) the case is intended to motivate our investigation of is devoted to the study of provides a detailed exposition of establishes the relation between presents some preliminaries.</p>	<p>contains a brief summary (a discussion) of deals with (discusses) the case is intended to motivate our investigation of is devoted to the study of provides a detailed exposition of establishes the relation between presents some preliminaries.</p>
<p>We will</p>	<p>touch only a few aspects of the theory. restrict our attention (the discussion/ourselves) to</p>	<p>touch only a few aspects of the theory. restrict our attention (the discussion/ourselves) to</p>

It is not our purpose to study
 No attempt has been made here
 It is possible that but we
 A more complete theory may

However, this topic exceeds the scope of this paper.
 we will not use this

The basic (main) idea
 The crucial fact is that
 Our proof involves local
 The proof is based on similar
 This idea goes back a long way

We emphasize that
 It is worth pointing out that
 The important point to note is
 The advantage of using lies in
 The estimate we obtain in the
 interest.

Our theorem provides a natural
 Our proof makes no appeal to
 Our viewpoint sheds some new
 Our example demonstrates rather
 The choice of seems to be
 natural.

The problem is that
 The main difficulty in
 In this case the method
 This class is not well
 Pointwise convergence

The results of this paper were
 The detailed proofs will appear in
 publication).
 For the proofs we refer the reader to

It is to be expected that
 One may conjecture that
 One may ask whether
 One question still remains
 The affirmative solution
 It would be desirable
 to study this.
 These results are far from complete.
 This question is at present open.

of not being intrinsic.
 ng an explicit formula.
 description of
 d to be familiar with
 efficient preparation.
 ed.
 s, the chapters are rendered as
 at the relevant material from [7]
 sition self-contained.

N

dense) if
 re word order after “we call”.]
 by $f = \dots$

 constant on
 hat f be constant on
 nitive.]
 wing condition:
 nition, the number of
 is defined to be
 f is
 we have set $f = \dots$
 ng the solution of
 satisfying
 nly g defined as follows.
 sined later) and
 following definition.

ed to as | the P -system.
 nambiguous (makes sense).

It is immaterial which M we choose to define F as long as M contains x .
 This product is independent of which member of g we choose to define it.
 It is Proposition 8 that makes this definition allowable.
 Our definition agrees | with the one given in [7] if u is
 | with the classical one for
 Note that | this coincides with our previously introduced
 terminology if K is convex.
 this is in agreement with [7] for

NOTATION

We will denote by Z | the set Write (Let/Set) $f = \dots$
 Let us denote by Z | [Not: “Denote $f = \dots$ ”]
 Let Z denote

The closure of A will be denoted by $\text{cl}A$.
 We will use the symbol (letter) k to denote
 We write H for the value of
 We will write the negation of p as $\neg p$.
 The notation aRb means that
 Such cycles are called homologous (written $c \sim c'$).

Here
 Here and subsequently, | K | denotes | the map
 Throughout the proof, | stands for
 In what follows,
 From now on,

We follow the notation of [8] (used in [8]).
 Our notation differs (is slightly different) from that of [8].
 Let us introduce the temporary notation Ff for gfg .

With the notation $f = \dots$, | we have
 With this notation,
 In the notation of [8, Ch. 7]

If f is real, it is customary to write rather than
 For simplicity of notation, | write f instead of
 To (simplify/shorten) notation, | we use the same letter f for
 By abuse of notation, | we continue to write f for
 For abbreviation, | let f stand for

We abbreviate $Faub$ to b' .
 We denote it briefly by F . [Not: “shortly”]
 We write it F for short (for brevity). [Not: “in short”]
 The Radon–Nikodym property (RNP for short) implies that
 We will write it simply x when no confusion can arise.

It will cause no confusion if we use the same letter to designate a member of A and its restriction to K .

We shall write the above expression as $t = \dots$
 The above expression may be written as $t = \dots$
 We can write (4) in the form

The Greek indices label components of sections of E .

Print terminology:

The expression in italics (in italic type), in large type, in bold print; in parentheses () (= round brackets), in brackets [] (= square brackets), in braces { } (= curly brackets), in angular brackets $\langle \rangle$; within the norm signs

Capital letters = upper case letters; small letters = lower case letters; Gothic (German) letters; script (calligraphic) letters (e.g. \mathcal{F} , \mathcal{G}); special Roman (blackboard bold) letters (e.g. \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{N})
 Dot \cdot , prime $'$, asterisk = star $*$, tilde \sim , bar $\bar{\quad}$ [over a symbol], hat $\hat{\quad}$, vertical stroke (vertical bar) $|$, slash (diagonal stroke/slant) $/$, dash $-$, sharp $\#$
 Dotted line $\dots\dots$, dashed line $-\ - - -$, wavy line $\sim\sim\sim$

PROPERTY

such that (with the property that) \dots
 [Not: "such an element that"]
 with the following properties: \dots
 satisfying $Lf = \dots$
 with $Nf = 1$ (with coordinates x, y, z)
 of norm 1 (of the form \dots)
 whose norm is \dots
 all of whose subsets are \dots
 by means of which g can be computed
 for which this is true
 at which g has a local maximum
 described by the equations \dots
 given by $Lf = \dots$
 depending only on \dots (independent of \dots)
 not in A
 so small that (small enough that) \dots
 as above (as in the previous theorem)
 so obtained
 occurring in the cone condition
 [Note the double "r".]
 guaranteed by the assumption \dots

The $\langle An \rangle$ element

\dots , the constant C being in \dots , the supremum being taken \dots , the limit being taken in

The $\langle An \rangle$ element

\dots , where C is so \dots is to \dots is a \dots is a \dots involv \dots ranges \dots may b

The operators A_i

have (shar
 have still
 lack (fail t
 still have
 not 1
 not 1
 both
 not c
 []
 neith
 only
 any
 p
 u
 still
 not t

preceding theorem indicated set above-mentioned group resulting region required (desired) elemen

Both X and Y are finite. Neither X nor Y is finite. Both X and Y are countable Neither of them is finite. [A None of the functions F_i is finite The set X is not finite; nor \langle

