The paper is a good piece of work on a subject that attracts considerable attention.

I am pleased to It is a pleasure to I strongly

recommend it for publication in Studia Mathematica.

The only remark I wish to make is that condition B should be formulated more carefully.

A few minor typographical errors are listed below.

I have indicated various corrections on the manuscript.

The results obtained are not particularly surprising and will be of limited interest.

The results are correct but only moderately interesting. rather easy modifications of known facts.

The example is worthwhile but not of sufficient interest for a research article.

The English of the paper needs a thorough revision.

The paper does not meet the standards of your journal.

Theorem 2 is false as stated. in this generality.

Lemma 2 is known (see)

Accordingly, I recommend that the paper be rejected.

PART B: SELECTED PROBLEMS OF ENGLISH GRAMMAR

INDEFINITE ARTICLE (a, an, —)

Note: Use "a" or "an" depending on pronunciation and not spelling, e.g. a unit, an x.

1. Instead of the number "one":

The four centres lie in a plane.

A chapter will be devoted to the study of expanding maps. For this, we introduce an auxiliary variable z.

2. Meaning "member of a class of objects", "some", "one of":

Then D becomes a locally convex space with dual space D'.

The right-hand side of (4) is then a bounded function.

This is easily seen to be an equivalence relation.

Theorem 7 has been extended to a class of boundary value problems.

This property is a consequence of the fact that

Let us now state a corollary of Lebesgue's theorem for

After a change of variable in the integral we get

We thus obtain the estimate with a constant C.

in the plural:

The existence of partitions of unity may be proved by

The definition of distributions implies that

..... with suitable constants.

...., where G and F are differential operators.

3. In definitions of classes of objects

(i.e. when there are many objects with the given property):

A fundamental solution is a function satisfying

We call C a module of ellipticity.

A classical example of a constant C such that

We wish to find a solution of (6) which is of the form

in the plural:

The elements of D are often called test functions.

the set of $\begin{vmatrix} \text{points with distance 1 from } K \\ \text{all functions with compact support} \end{vmatrix}$

The integral may be approximated by sums of the form Taking in (4) functions v which vanish in U we obtain Let f and g be functions such that

4. In the plural—when you are referring to each element of a class:

Direct sums exist in the category of abelian groups.

In particular, closed sets are Borel sets.

Borel measurable functions are often called Borel mappings.

This makes it possible to apply H_2 -results to functions in any H_p .

If you are referring to all elements of a class, use "the":

The real measures form a subclass of the complex ones.

5. In front of an adjective which is intended to mean "having this particular quality":

This map extends to all of M in an obvious fashion.

A remarkable feature of the solution should be stressed.

Section 1 gives a condensed exposition of describes in a unified manner the recent results

A simple computation gives

Combining (2) and (3) we obtain, with a new constant C,

A more general theory must be sought to account for these irregularities.

The equation (3) has a unique solution g for every f. But: (3) has the unique solution g = ABf.

DEFINITE ARTICLE (the)

1. Meaning "mentioned earlier", "that":

Let $A \subset X$. If aB = 0 for every B intersecting the set A, then Define $\exp x = \sum x^i/i!$. The series can easily be shown to converge.

2. In front of a noun (possibly preceded by an adjective) referring to a single, uniquely determined object (e.g. in definitions):

Let f be the linear form $g \mapsto (g, F)$. defined by (2). [If there is only one.]

So u = 1 in the compact set K of all points at distance 1 from L. We denote by B(X) the Banach space of all linear operators in X., under the usual boundary conditions.

...., with the natural definitions of addition and multiplication. Using the standard inner product we may identify

3. In the construction: the + property (or another characteristic) + of + object:

The continuity of f follows from

The existence of test functions is not evident.

There is a fixed compact set containing the supports of all the f^j .

Then x is the centre of an open ball \overline{U} .

The intersection of a decreasing family of such sets is convex.

But: Every nonempty open set in \mathbb{R}^k is a union of disjoint boxes. [If you wish to stress that it is some union of not too well specified objects.]

4. In front of a cardinal number if it embraces all objects considered:

The two groups have been shown to have the same number of generators. [Two groups only were mentioned.]

Each of the three products on the right of (4) satisfies [There are exactly three products there.]

5. In front of an ordinal number:

The first Poisson integral in (4) converges to g.

The second statement follows immediately from the first.

6. In front of surnames used attributively:

the Dirichlet problem
the Taylor expansion
the Gauss theorem

| Taylor's formula [without "the"]
| a Banach space

7. In front of a noun in the plural if you are referring to a class of objects as a whole, and not to particular members of the class:

The real measures form a subclass of the complex ones.

This class includes the Helson sets.

ARTICLE OMISSION

1. In front of nouns referring to activities:

Application of Definition 5.9 gives (45).

Repeated application $\langle use \rangle$ of (4.8) shows that

The last formula can be derived by direct consideration of

Thus A is the smallest possible extension in which differentiation is always possible.

Using integration by parts we obtain

If we apply induction to (4), we get

Addition of (3) and (4) gives

This reduces the solution to division by Px.

Comparison of (5) and (6) shows that

2. In front of nouns referring to properties if you mention no particular object:

In questions of uniqueness one usually has to consider

By continuity, (2) also holds when f = 1.

By duality we easily obtain the following theorem.

Here we do not require translation invariance.

- 3. After certain expressions with "of":
 - a type of convergence a problem of uniqueness the condition of ellipticity

the hypothesis of positivity the method of proof the point of increase

4. In front of numbered objects:

It follows from Theorem 7 that

Section 4 gives a concise presentation of

Property (iii) is called the triangle inequality.

This has been proved in part (a) of the proof.

But: the set of solutions of the form (4.7)

To prove the estimate (5.3) we first extend

We thus obtain the inequality (3). [Or: inequality (3)]

The asymptotic formula (3.6) follows from

Since the region (2.9) is in U, we have

5. To avoid repetition:

the order and symbol of a distribution

the associativity and commutativity of A

the direct sum and direct product

the inner and outer factors of f [Note the plural.]

But: a deficit or an excess

6. In front of surnames in the possessive:

Minkowski's inequality, but: the Minkowski inequality

Fefferman and Stein's famous theorem.

more usual: the famous Fefferman-Stein theorem

7. In some expressions describing a noun, especially after "with" and "of":

an algebra with unit e; an operator with domain H^2 ; a solution with vanishing Cauchy data; a cube with sides parallel to the axes; a domain with smooth boundary; an equation with constant coefficients; a function with compact support; random variables with zero expectation

the equation of motion; the velocity of propagation;

an element of finite order; a solution of polynomial growth;

a ball of radius 1; a function of norm p

But: elements of the form $f = \dots$

a Banach space with a weak symplectic form w two random variables with a common distribution

8. After forms of "have":

It has finite norm. But: It has a finite norm not exceeding 1. a compact support contained in I.

It has $\begin{vmatrix} \text{rank 2.} \\ \text{cardinality } c. \\ \text{absolute value 1.} \\ \text{determinant zero.} \end{vmatrix}$

But: It has a zero of order at least 2 at the origin. a density g.

[Unless g has appeared earlier; then: It has density g.]

9. In front of the name of a mathematical discipline:

This idea comes from game theory (homological algebra).

But: in the theory of distributions

10. Other examples:

We can assume that G is in diagonal form.

Then A is deformed into B by pushing it at constant speed along the integral curves of X.

G is now viewed as a set, without group structure.

INFINITIVE

1. Indicating aim or intention:

To prove the theorem, we first let

to study the group of

We now apply (5) to derive the following theorem.

to obtain an x with norm not exceeding 1.

Here are some examples to show how

2. In constructions with "too" and "enough":

This method is **too** complicated **to** be used here.

This case is important enough to be stated separately.

3. Indicating that one action leads to another:

We now apply Theorem 7 to get Nf = 0. [= and we get Nf = 0] Insert (2) into (3) to find that

4. In constructions like "we may assume M to be":

We may assume M to be compact.

We define K to be the section of H over S.

If we take the contour G to lie in U, then

We extend f to be homogeneous of degree 1.

The class A is defined by requiring all the functions f to satisfy Partially order P by declaring X < Y to mean that

5. In constructions like "M is assumed to be":

is assumed \(\expected/\)found/considered/taken/ claimed\(\exp\) to be open.

The map M

will be chosen to satisfy (2). can be taken to be constant. can easily be shown to have is also found to be of class S.

This investigation is likely to produce good results.

[= It is very probable it will]

The close agreement of the six elements is unlikely to be a coincidence. [= is probably not]

6. In the structure "for this to happen":

For this to happen, F must be compact.

[= In order that this happens]

For the last estimate to hold, it is enough to assume Then for such a map to exist, we must have

7. As the subject of a sentence:

To see that this is not a symbol is fairly easy. [Or: It is fairly easy to see that]

To choose a point at random in the interval [0, 1] is a conceptual experiment with an obvious intuitive meaning.

To say that u is maximal means simply that

After expressions with "it":

It is necessary $\langle useful/very\ important \rangle$ to consider

It makes sense to speak of

It is therefore of interest to look at

8. After forms of "be":

Our goal \langle method/approach/procedure/objective/aim \rangle is to find The problem \langle difficulty \rangle here is to construct

9. With nouns and with superlatives, in the place of a relative clause:

The theorem to be proved is the following. [= which will be proved] This will be proved by the method to be described in Section 6.

For other reasons, to be discussed in Chapter 4, we have to He was the first to propose a complete theory of

They appear to be the first to have suggested the now accepted interpretation of

10. After certain verbs:

These properties led him to suggest that

Lax claims to have obtained a formula for

This map turns out to satisfy

At first glance M appears to differ from N in two major ways:

A more sophisticated argument enables one to prove that

[Note: "enable" requires "one", "us" etc.]

He **proposed to study** that problem. [Or: He proposed studying]

We make G act trivially on V.

Let f satisfies"]

We need to consider the following three cases.

We need not consider this case separately.

["need to" in affirmative clauses, without "to" in negative clauses; also note: "we only need to consider", but: "we need only consider"]

ING-FORM

1. As the subject of a sentence (note the absence of "the"):

Repeating the previous argument and using (3) leads to Since taking symbols commutes with lifting, A is Combining Proposition 5 and Theorem 7 gives

2. After prepositions:

After making a linear transformation, we may assume that

In passing from (2) to (3) we have ignored the factor n.

In deriving (4) we have made use of

On substituting (2) into (3) we obtain

Before making some other estimates, we prove

The trajectory Z enters X without meeting x = 0.

Instead of using the Fourier method we can multiply

In addition to illustrating how our formulas work, it provides

Besides being very involved, this proof gives no information on

This set is obtained by letting $n \to \infty$.

It is important to pay attention to domains of definition when trying to

The following theorem is the key to constructing

The reason for preferring (1) to (2) is simply that

3. In certain expressions with "of":

The idea of combining (2) and (3) came from

The **problem** considered there was that of determining $\operatorname{WF}(u)$ for

We use the technique of extending

This method has the **disadvantage of** being very involved. requiring that f be positive. [Note the infinitive.]

Actually, S has the much stronger property of being convex.

4. After certain verbs, especially with prepositions:

We begin by analyzing (3).

We succeeded (were successful) in proving (4).

[Not: "succeeded to prove"]

We next turn to estimating

They persisted in investigating the case

We are interested in finding a solution of

We were surprised at finding out that

[Or: surprised to find out]

Their study resulted in proving the conjecture for

The success of our method will depend on proving that

To compute the norm of amounts to finding

We should avoid using (2) here, since

[Not: "avoid to use"]

We put off discussing this problem to Section 5.

It is worth noting that [Not: "worth to note"]

It is worth while discussing here this phenomenon.

[Or: worth while to discuss; "worth while" with ing-forms is best avoided as it often leads to errors.]

It is an idea worth carrying out.

[Not: "worth while carrying out", nor: "worth to carry out"]

After having finished proving (2), we will turn to

[Not: "finished to prove"]

However, (2) needs handling with greater care.

One more case merits mentioning here.

In [7] he mentions having proved this for f not in S.

5. Present Participle in a separate clause (note that the subjects of the main clause and the subordinate clause must be the same):

We show that f satisfies (2), thus completing the analogy with Restricting this to R, we can define

[Not: "Restricting, the lemma follows". The lemma does not restrict!]

The set A, being the union of two intersecting continua, is connected.

6. Present Participle describing a noun:

We need only consider paths starting at 0.

We interpret f as a function with image having support in

We regard f as being defined on

7. In expressions which can be rephrased using "where" or "since":

Now J is defined to equal Af, the function f being as in (3). [= where f is]

This is a special case of (4), the space X here being B(K).

We construct three maps of the form (5), each of them satisfying (8).

Then $\lim_t a(x,t) < 1$, the limit being assumed to exist for every x.

The ideal is defined by $m = \dots$, it being understood that

Now, F being convex, we can assume that [= since F is]

Hence $F = \emptyset$ (it being impossible to make A and B intersect). [= since it is impossible]

[Do not write "a function being an element of X" if you mean "a function which is an element of X".]

8. In expressions which can be rephrased as "the fact that X is":

Note that M being cyclic implies F is cyclic.

The probability of X being rational equals 1/2. In addition to f being convex, we require that

PASSIVE VOICE

1. Usual passive voice:

This theorem was proved by Milnor in 1976.

In items 2–6, passive voice structures replace sentences with subject "we" or impersonal constructions of other languages.

2. Replacing the structure "we do something":

This identity is established by observing that

This difficulty is avoided above.

When this is substituted in (3), an analogous description of K is obtained.

Nothing is assumed concerning the expectation of X.

3. Replacing the structure "we prove that X is":

The function M is easily shown to have may be said to be regular if

This equation is known to hold for

4. Replacing the construction "we give an object X a structure Y":

Note that E can be given a complex structure by The letter A is here given a bar to indicate that

5. Replacing the structure "we act on something":

This order behaves well when g is acted upon by an operator.

Hence F can be thought of as

So all the terms of (5) are accounted for.

The preceding observation, when looked at from a more general point of view, leads to

In the physical context already referred to, K is