

On the Non-Emptiness of the Core of a Cooperative Fuzzy Game

David Bartl¹

Department of Informatics and Mathematics, School of Business Administration in
Karviná, Silesian University in Opava, Univerzitní náměstí 1934/3, 733 40 Karviná,
Czechia

bartl@opf.slu.cz, david.bartl@post.cz

Abstract. We introduce the concept of a fuzzy coalition structure on a finite set of players. Then, we propose a new model of a cooperative fuzzy game with transferable utility: an existing coalition is assumed to endeavour in a branch of industry, and a deviation of a new coalition from the coalition structure is seen as an opportunity of the coalition. Based on these premisses, we introduce the concept of the core of the cooperative fuzzy TU-game with respect to a general fuzzy coalition structure. Finally, we define the concept of balancedness and formulate a generalization of the Bondareva-Shapley Theorem.

Keywords: Cooperative fuzzy TU-game · Core · Balanced game · Bondareva-Shapley theorem.

1 Introduction

Consider a classical cooperative game of n players with transferable utility. The *coalition* is any subset of the set $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of the players, and the potency set $\mathcal{P}(N) = \{K : K \subseteq N\}$ of the set N is the collection of all coalitions $K \subseteq N$ that can potentially emerge. Finally, if a coalition $K \subseteq N$ emerges, then it will achieve its total profit of $v(K)$ units of some transferable utility (e.g. money); it is assumed that $v(\emptyset) = 0$. In other words, the cooperative game is given by its *coalition function*, which is a mapping $v: \mathcal{P}(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $v(\emptyset) = 0$.

The *coalition structure* is any partition of the set N of the players; that is, the coalition structure is any collection $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r\}$ of coalitions such that $\bigcup_{p=1}^r S_p = N$ and $S_p \cap S_q = \emptyset$ whenever $p \neq q$ for $p, q = 1, 2, \dots, r$, and also $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{S}$.

Assume that a coalition structure $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r\}$ has crystallized. It means that the coalitions S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r have emerged, they exist now, and they will achieve the profits $v(S_1), v(S_2), \dots, v(S_r)$, respectively. Now, the purpose is that the players within each coalition S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r divide the total profit of their coalition among themselves. The division of the profit among the players is described by the payoff vector.

The *payoff vector* is any vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_i)_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where a_i is the profit apportioned to the i -th player for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. It is usual to require that

the payoff vector belongs to a certain solution concept of the cooperative game. Informally speaking, the solution concept is a mapping that assigns a certain set of payoff vectors (i.e. a subset of \mathbb{R}^n) to the coalition function $v: \mathcal{P}(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and to the coalition structure $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r\}$. The core [8, 6, 7] is an example of the solution concept.

The *core* of the cooperative game with transferable utility (TU-game) given by the coalition function v with respect to the coalition structure \mathcal{S} is the set

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{i \in S} a_i = v(S) \text{ for } S \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } \sum_{i \in K} a_i \geq v(K) \text{ for } K \in \mathcal{P}(N) \setminus \mathcal{S} \right\},$$

see [1]. In words, the core is the set of all the payoff vectors $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that satisfy the conditions of feasibility ($\sum_{i \in S} a_i \leq v(S)$ for $S \in \mathcal{S}$), efficiency or group rationality ($\sum_{i \in S} a_i \geq v(S)$ for $S \in \mathcal{S}$), and group stability ($\sum_{i \in K} a_i \geq v(K)$ for $K \in \mathcal{P}(N) \setminus \mathcal{S}$). Now, the key question is whether the core is non-empty.

The next classical result provides an answer to the question:

Bondareva-Shapley Theorem [3, 9]. *The core \mathcal{C} of the cooperative TU-game given by the coalition function v with respect to the coalition structure $\mathcal{S} = \{N\}$ is non-empty if and only if the game is balanced.*

As we can see, the classical Bondareva-Shapley Theorem provides the answer in the special case when the coalition structure consists of the grand coalition ($\mathcal{S} = \{N\}$) only. We ask whether we can define the concept of balancedness with respect to a general coalition structure $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r\}$ and prove the respective generalization of the Bondareva-Shapley Theorem. Regarding the generalization in the case of cooperative crisp TU-games, see [2]. Now, our purpose is to extend the results further to the case of cooperative fuzzy TU-games.

2 The core and balancedness of fuzzy TU-games

Consider again a cooperative game of n players with transferable utility. Now, the *fuzzy coalition* is any fuzzy subset \tilde{K} of the set $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of the players; we denote this fact by writing $\tilde{K} \subseteq N$. Recall that any fuzzy subset $\tilde{K} \subseteq N$ is given by its *membership vector* $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \in [0, 1]^N$, which is here understood as a row vector $\boldsymbol{\kappa} = (\kappa_1 \ \kappa_2 \ \dots \ \kappa_n)$ with $0 \leq \kappa_i \leq 1$ for $i \in N$. Notice that if the membership vector is restricted so that $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \in \{0, 1\}^N$; that is, $\kappa_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for $i \in N$, then it corresponds to the crisp coalition $K \subseteq N$, with $i \in K$ if and only if $\kappa_i = 1$ for $i \in N$. The membership vector corresponding to the empty coalition \emptyset and to the grand coalition N is $\boldsymbol{\chi}^\emptyset$ and $\boldsymbol{\chi}^N$, with $\chi_i^\emptyset = 0$ and $\chi_i^N = 1$, respectively, for $i \in N$.

The collection $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(N) = \{ \tilde{K} : \tilde{K} \subseteq N \}$ of all fuzzy subsets of the set N contains all the fuzzy coalitions $\tilde{K} \subseteq N$ that can potentially emerge. This collection is identified with the aforementioned set $[0, 1]^N$ of all the membership vectors $\boldsymbol{\kappa}$.

The *fuzzy coalition structure* is any indexed collection $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = (\tilde{S}_p)_{p \in \mathcal{R}}$ of fuzzy coalitions $\tilde{S}_p \subseteq N$ with membership vectors $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p \in [0, 1]^N$ for $p \in \mathcal{R}$, where \mathcal{R} is an index set, such that $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{R}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_p = \boldsymbol{\chi}^N$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p \neq \boldsymbol{\chi}^\emptyset$ for $p \in \mathcal{R}$. Notice that,

even though the set N of the players is finite, the index set \mathcal{R} may be infinite and a fuzzy coalition $\tilde{S} \subseteq N$ may be present several times in the fuzzy coalition structure $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$; that is, we may have $\tilde{S}_p = \tilde{S}_q$ for distinct $p, q \in \mathcal{R}$. Moreover, if the membership vectors are restricted so that $\sigma_p \in \{0, 1\}^N$, then the index set \mathcal{R} is finite, let $\mathcal{R} = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, say, and the fuzzy coalition structure $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ reduces to the crisp coalition structure $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_r\}$ with $S_p = \{i \in N : (\sigma_p)_i = 1\}$ for $p = 1, 2, \dots, r$. We obviously have $\bigcup_{p=1}^r S_p = N$ and $S_p \cap S_q \neq \emptyset$ iff $p = q$ for $p, q = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

Assume that a fuzzy coalition structure $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = (\tilde{S}_p)_{p \in \mathcal{R}}$ has crystallized. It means that the fuzzy coalitions $\tilde{S}_p \subseteq N$, for $p \in \mathcal{R}$, have emerged and exist. We interpret the fact that $0 \leq (\sigma_p)_i \leq 1$ for $p \in \mathcal{R}$ so that the player i is involved in the coalition \tilde{S}_p for “part-time job” in general; that is, the player is not involved in the coalition at all if $(\sigma_p)_i = 0$, the player is involved for “full-time job” if $(\sigma_p)_i = 1$, and the player is involved for “part-time job” in the remaining cases. Moreover, we understand the fact that formally the same coalition $\tilde{S}_p = \tilde{S}_q$, for $p, q \in \mathcal{R}$ with $p \neq q$, can be present several times in the coalition structure $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ so that the coalitions \tilde{S}_p and \tilde{S}_q are actually *distinct* and they endeavour in different branches of industry in general. Given this interpretation, it follows that the total profits achieved by the distinct coalitions \tilde{S}_p and \tilde{S}_q , both of which exist at the same time, may be distinct too in general.

Based on these considerations, we propose a new model of cooperative fuzzy game with transferable utility. We propose that the cooperative fuzzy game is given by a pair of functions $V: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $v: [0, 1]^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $v(\chi^\emptyset) = 0$. The first function V assigns the total profit of $V(p)$ units of some transferable utility to any fuzzy coalition \tilde{S}_p of the present fuzzy coalition structure $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ for $p \in \mathcal{R}$; that is, the total profit $V(p)$ is assigned to any coalition \tilde{S}_p that presently exists and is active and endeavouring in some branch of industry. (This approach loosely resembles that of Thrall and Lucas [10].) Now, a new fuzzy coalition $\tilde{K} \subseteq N$ may take the opportunity and form, leave the present coalition structure $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$, and start to endeavour in a new branch of industry. This is the reason why we consider the second function v . It assigns the total profit of $v(\kappa)$ units of the transferable utility to the fuzzy coalition $\tilde{K} \subseteq N$ that decides to take the opportunity and leave the present coalition structure $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$.

(We remark that the above model can easily be adapted to include the case of restricted cooperation: Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [0, 1]^N$ be the collection of the membership vectors that correspond to the feasible fuzzy coalitions. We then define the function v on the collection \mathcal{A} only ($v: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$) and adapt the below given considerations accordingly.)

Now, again, the purpose is that the players within each fuzzy coalition \tilde{S}_p divide the total profit $V(p)$ of their coalition among themselves for $p \in \mathcal{R}$. The division of the profit will be described by the *payoff matrix* which is any matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times \mathcal{R}}$, where a_{ip} is the profit apportioned to player i in coalition \tilde{S}_p for $i \in N$ and for $p \in \mathcal{R}$. Moreover, we set $a_{ip} := 0$ for $i \in N$ and for $p \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $(\sigma_p)_i = 0$; that is, the player i is not involved in the fuzzy coalition \tilde{S}_p at all. (The total profit of player i achieved via all the player’s involvements in the coalitions

is the row sum $\pi_i = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{R}} a_{ip}$ for $i \in N$.) Our purpose is to extend the classical concept of the core to the present setting. Thus, consider a payoff matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times \mathcal{R}}$. We agree that, if \mathbf{A} belongs to the core, then the equations $\sum_{i \in N} a_{ip} = V(p)$, which express the feasibility and efficiency or group rationality, must hold for all $p \in \mathcal{R}$. Regarding the group stability, assume that a fuzzy coalition $\tilde{K} \subseteq N$ with membership vector $\kappa \in [0, 1]^N$ takes the opportunity and deviates from the present coalition structure $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$. Then the coalition \tilde{K} endeavouring in a new branch industry will achieve its total profit of $v(\kappa)$ units of the utility. We stipulate that each player $i \in N$ must have left some coalitions so that the sum of the players “part-time jobs” exceeds κ_i . Mathematically speaking, we stipulate that there exists an index subset $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ such that $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{K}} \sigma_p \geq \kappa$. Though the index subset $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ could be infinite in general, we shall assume that the index subset \mathcal{K} is finite to obtain a simple definition of balancedness below. Then the inequalities which prevent the fuzzy coalition $\tilde{K} \subseteq N$ from the deviation from the coalition structure $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ are $\sum_{i \in N} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{K}} a_{ip} \geq v(\kappa)$ for every finite $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ such that $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{K}} \sigma_p \geq \kappa$.

To conclude, we define the *core* of the cooperative fuzzy TU-game given by its fuzzy coalition structure $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = (\tilde{S}_p)_{p \in \mathcal{R}}$, the coalition of this fuzzy coalition structure function $V: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the fuzzy coalition function $v: [0, 1]^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $v(\chi^\emptyset) = 0$ to be the set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} = \{ \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times \mathcal{R}} : & (\sigma_p)_i = 0 \implies a_{ip} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \in N \text{ and for } p \in \mathcal{R}, \\ & \sum_{i \in N} a_{ip} = V(p) \quad \text{for } p \in \mathcal{R}, \\ & \sum_{p \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{i \in N} a_{ip} \geq v(\kappa) \quad \text{for } \kappa \in [0, 1]^N \text{ and} \\ & \text{for finite } \mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{R} \text{ such that } \sum_{p \in \mathcal{K}} \sigma_p \geq \kappa \} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, if $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{C}$, then each of the variables a_{ip} is bounded below and above for $i \in N$ and for $p \in \mathcal{R}$. Indeed, if $i \in N$ and $p \in \mathcal{R}$ are such that $(\sigma_p)_i = 0$, then $a_{ip} = 0$. Consider now $i \in N$ and $p \in \mathcal{R}$ are such that $(\sigma_p)_i > 0$. Take the membership vector $\kappa \in [0, 1]^N$ such that $\kappa_i = (\sigma_p)_i$ and $\kappa_j = 0$ for $j \in N \setminus \{i\}$. Then $a_{ip} \geq v(\kappa)$, which is a lower bound. Let \underline{a}_{ip} be a lower bound of a_{ip} for $i \in N$ and for $p \in \mathcal{R}$. Consider again $i \in N$ and $p \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $(\sigma_p)_i > 0$. We then have $a_{ip} + \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \underline{a}_{jp} \leq \sum_{j \in N} a_{jp} = V(p)$, whence $a_{ip} \leq V(p) - \sum_{j \in N \setminus \{i\}} \underline{a}_{jp}$, which is an upper bound. Let \bar{a}_{ip} be an upper bound of a_{ip} for $i \in N$ and for $p \in \mathcal{R}$.

Let us suppose wlog that $\underline{a}_{ip} \leq \bar{a}_{ip}$ for $i \in N$ and for $p \in \mathcal{R}$. (Should we have $\underline{a}_{ip} > \bar{a}_{ip}$, then let $\underline{a}_{ip} := \bar{a}_{ip}$, say.) Then the closed interval $[\underline{a}_{ip}, \bar{a}_{ip}]$, endowed with the usual Euclidean topology, is compact, therefore the product $\mathcal{X} = \prod_{i \in N} \prod_{p \in \mathcal{R}} [\underline{a}_{ip}, \bar{a}_{ip}]$, endowed with the product topology, is a compact topological space by Tychonoff's Theorem. Notice that the core $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$.

It is easy to see that the core \mathcal{C} is non-empty if and only if the following system of linear inequalities, where a_{ip} are variables, has a solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in N, (\sigma_p)_i > 0} a_{ip} &\leq V(p) & \text{for } p \in \mathcal{R}, \\ -\sum_{i \in N, (\sigma_p)_i > 0} a_{ip} &\leq -V(p) & \text{for } p \in \mathcal{R}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\sum_{p \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{i \in N, (\sigma_p)_i > 0} a_{ip} &\leq -v(\boldsymbol{\kappa}) \quad \text{for } \boldsymbol{\kappa} \in [0, 1]^N \text{ and} \\
 &\text{for finite } \mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{R} \text{ such that } \sum_{p \in \mathcal{K}} \sigma_p \geq \boldsymbol{\kappa}. \quad (2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that there is a finite number of variables on the left-hand side of each inequality in (1)–(2). Moreover, it is easy to see that, for any finite subset $\mathcal{I} \subseteq N \times \mathcal{R}$ and for any constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$, the halfspace $F = \{ \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times \mathcal{R}} : \sum_{(i,p) \in \mathcal{I}} a_{ip} \leq c \}$ is a closed set in the product topology of the space \mathcal{X} . It follows that the core \mathcal{C} is the intersection of (possibly infinitely many) closed halfspaces. Since the space \mathcal{X} is compact, we conclude that the core \mathcal{C} is non-empty if and only if every finite subsystem of (1)–(2) has a solution; that is, for any natural numbers $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, for any $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r \in \mathcal{R}$, for any $\boldsymbol{\kappa}_1, \boldsymbol{\kappa}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{\kappa}_s \in [0, 1]^N$ and for any finite $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2, \dots, \mathcal{K}_s \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ such that $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{K}_q} \sigma_p \geq \boldsymbol{\kappa}_q$ for $q = 1, 2, \dots, s$, the following system of linear inequalities, where a_{ip} are variables, has a solution:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i \in N, (\sigma_{p_\rho})_i > 0} a_{ip} &\leq V(p_\rho) \quad \text{for } \rho = 1, 2, \dots, r, \\
 -\sum_{i \in N, (\sigma_{p_\rho})_i > 0} a_{ip} &\leq -V(p_\rho) \quad \text{for } \rho = 1, 2, \dots, r, \\
 -\sum_{p \in \mathcal{K}_q} \sum_{i \in N, (\sigma_p)_i > 0} a_{ip} &\leq -v(\boldsymbol{\kappa}_q) \quad \text{for } q = 1, 2, \dots, s.
 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The following result is useful:

Gale’s Theorem of the alternative [4, 5]. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ be a matrix and let $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be a vector. Then there exists a solution $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to the system of linear inequalities*

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b} \quad (4)$$

if and only if

$$\forall \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times m}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \geq \mathbf{0}^T: \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}^T \implies \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \mathbf{b} \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

By identifying system (4) with (3), the condition (5) and some calculations yield the concept of balancedness of the cooperative fuzzy TU-game.

It will be useful to introduce the operation of rounding up. A number $\sigma \in [0, 1]$ is rounded up as follows: we let $\lceil \sigma \rceil = 0$ if $\sigma = 0$, and $\lceil \sigma \rceil = 1$ if $\sigma > 0$. Given a row membership vector $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in [0, 1]^N$, the operation $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ is applied to the vector componentwise; that is, we have $\lceil \boldsymbol{\sigma} \rceil \in \{0, 1\}^N$ and $\lceil \boldsymbol{\sigma} \rceil_i = 0$ or $\lceil \boldsymbol{\sigma} \rceil_i = 1$ if $\sigma_i = 0$ or $\sigma_i > 0$, respectively, for $i \in N$.

Recall that the fuzzy coalition structure $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = (\tilde{S}_p)_{p \in \mathcal{R}}$ consists of fuzzy coalitions $\tilde{S}_p \subseteq N$ with membership vectors $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p \in [0, 1]^N$ for $p \in \mathcal{R}$. We say that a collection $\{\tilde{K}_1, \tilde{K}_2, \dots, \tilde{K}_s\}$ of fuzzy coalitions $\tilde{K}_1, \tilde{K}_2, \dots, \tilde{K}_s \subseteq N$ with membership vectors $\boldsymbol{\kappa}_1, \boldsymbol{\kappa}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{\kappa}_s \in [0, 1]^N$ along with a collection $\{\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2, \dots, \mathcal{K}_s\}$ of finite index sets $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2, \dots, \mathcal{K}_s \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ such that $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{K}_q} \sigma_p \geq \boldsymbol{\kappa}_q$ for $q = 1, 2, \dots, s$ is *balanced* with respect to the fuzzy coalition structure $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = (\tilde{S}_p)_{p \in \mathcal{R}}$ if and only if

$$\sum_{q=1}^s \sum_{p \in \mathcal{K}_q} \lambda_q \lceil \sigma_p \rceil = \sum_{\rho=1}^r \mu_{p_\rho} \lceil \sigma_{p_\rho} \rceil$$

for some balancing weights $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_s \geq 0$, for some natural number $r \in \mathbb{N}$, for some indices $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r \in \mathcal{R}$, and for some $\mu_{p_1}, \mu_{p_2}, \dots, \mu_{p_r} \geq 0$ such that $\mu_{p_1} + \mu_{p_2} + \dots + \mu_{p_r} = 1$.

Finally, we say that the given cooperative fuzzy TU-game is *balanced* with respect to the fuzzy coalition structure $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = (\tilde{S}_p)_{p \in \mathcal{R}}$ if and only if

$$\sum_{q=1}^s \sum_{p \in \mathcal{K}_q} \lambda_q v(\kappa_q) \leq \sum_{\rho=1}^r \mu_{p_\rho} V(p_\rho)$$

for every balanced collection $\{\tilde{K}_1, \tilde{K}_2, \dots, \tilde{K}_s\}$ of fuzzy coalitions along with the corresponding collection $\{\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2, \dots, \mathcal{K}_s\}$ of the finite index sets.

By combining all the facts together, we come to the main result of this paper:

Bondareva-Shapley Theorem, generalized version. *Let a fuzzy cooperative TU-game; that is, a fuzzy coalition structure $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = (\tilde{S}_p)_{p \in \mathcal{R}}$, a function $V: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the coalition of this fuzzy coalition structure and a fuzzy coalition function $v: [0, 1]^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $v(\chi^\emptyset) = 0$ be given. Then the core $\mathcal{C} = \{ \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times \mathcal{R}} : \sum_{i \in N} a_{ip} = V(p) \text{ for } p \in \mathcal{R}, \text{ and } \sum_{p \in \mathcal{K}} \sum_{i \in N} a_{ip} \geq v(\kappa) \text{ for } \kappa \in [0, 1]^N, \text{ and also } a_{ip} = 0 \text{ if } (\sigma_p)_i = 0 \text{ for } i \in N \text{ and for } p \in \mathcal{R} \}$ is non-empty if and only if the game is balanced.*

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Czech Science Foundation under grant number GAČR 21-03085S.

References

1. Aumann, R. J., Dreze, J. H.: Cooperative Games with Coalition Structures. *International Journal of Game Theory* **3**(4), 217–237 (1974)
2. Bartl, D.: On the Non-Emptiness of the Core of a Cooperative Game. In: *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Mathematical Methods in Economics 2007*, pp. 9–11. Ostrava: VŠB–Technical University of Ostrava. Faculty of Economics (2007) ISBN 978-80-248-1457-5
3. Bondareva, O. N.: Some Applications of Linear Programming Methods to the Theory of Cooperative Games (in Russian). *Problemy Kybernetiki* **10**, 119–139 (1963)
4. Fan, K.: On Systems of Linear Inequalities. In: Kuhn, H. W., Tucker, A. W. (eds.) *Linear Inequalities and Related Systems*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, vol. 38, pp. 99–156. Princeton: Princeton University Press (1956)
5. Gale, D.: *The Theory of Linear Economic Models*. New York: McGraw-Hill (1960)
6. Gillies, D. B.: Solutions to General Non-zero-sum Games. In: Tucker, A. W., Luce, R. D. (eds.) *Contributions to the Theory of Games*, Vol. IV, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, vol. 38, pp. 47–85. Princeton: Princeton University Press (1959)
7. Kannai, Y.: The Core and Balancedness. In: Aumann, R. J., and Hart, S. (eds.) *Handbook of Game Theory with Economic Applications*, Vol. I, pp. 591–667. Amsterdam: North-Holland (1992)
8. Shapley, L. S.: Markets as Cooperative Games. RAND Corporation Paper P-629 (1955)
9. Shapley, L. S.: On Balanced Sets and Cores. *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly* **14**, 453–460 (1967)
10. Thrall, R. M., Lucas, W. F.: *N*-person games in partition function form. *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly* **10**, 281–298 (1963)